

Consultant Innovation Fund Application Assessment Checklist -Final Submission Applications-

This checklist contains **26 questions**. Applicants are **strongly advised** to review all 26 questions carefully and ensure they can answer “**Yes**” to every question before submitting their application.

Each question requires a **Yes, No or N/A** response.

- Yes = compliant / acceptable
- No = non-compliant / the application cannot be approved
- N/A = not applicable (for example, if the project does not include research, simulation, or Point-of-Care Ultrasound)
- Exception (Q25): If reports from previously funded projects are outstanding, the application will not be rejected but processing will be paused until reports are submitted.

No.	Section A: Core Eligibility Criteria	Example	Yes, No or N/A
1	Is this the first time an application to CIF has been made for this project , and if it was funded previously (through CIF or HSE Innovation Scheme funding), has it been fully completed with demonstrable outcomes?	<p><i>Compliant: A project applying for funding for the first time this year, or one that previously received funding but has been fully completed with demonstrable outcomes.</i></p> <p><i>Non-compliant: A project that has already received CIF or HSE Innovation Scheme funding in the same calendar year, or one that previously received funding but remains incomplete with no outcomes.</i></p>	
2	Is the project consultant-led by a named POCC23 consultant , with clear demonstrable leadership?	<p><i>Compliant: A POCC23 consultant is the project lead.</i></p> <p><i>Non-compliant: Led by non-POCC consultants, nurses and midwives, HSCPs, NCHDs, or non-consultant staff.</i></p>	
3	Is the proposal within the scope of the Consultant Innovation Fund (i.e., not routine service delivery, maintenance, or ‘business as usual’)?	<p><i>Compliant: Introducing a digital triage pathway.</i></p>	

		<i>Non-compliant: Applying for funds to cover routine outpatient clinics, maintenance, or support of existing services without innovation.</i>	
4	If research is proposed, is it translational/innovation-focused with clear service delivery or patient impact , and does the budget exclude non-eligible costs (e.g., PhD/MD fees, stipends, dissemination, or travel)?	<p><i>Compliant: Translational research that leads to a new care model or service delivery change, with a budget limited to eligible project costs.</i></p> <p><i>Non-compliant: pure research projects (e.g., basic science, literature reviews, feasibility studies or registry development without a clear service-delivery impact) or research budgets including PhD/MD fees, stipends, dissemination, or conference travel.</i></p>	
5	Is the proposal more than a standard procurement or equipment purchase , and clearly linked to service redesign, innovation, workforce transformation, or a defined project?	<p><i>Compliant: Purchase of equipment or devices that enable a redesigned diagnostic pathway, new model of care, or workforce transformation.</i></p> <p><i>Non-compliant: Requests for equipment-only (standard replacement, routine procurement, or product pilots without associated redesign or improvement).</i></p>	
6	Does the project articulate a clear impact on patients, staff, or the health system ?	<p><i>Compliant: Reducing waiting times, improving staff efficiency, or lowering system costs.</i></p> <p><i>Non-compliant: A proposal with no clear patient, staff, or system impact described.</i></p>	
7	Has the project received approval and support from the applicant's Clinical Director (with email/letter confirming approval included in the application)?	<p><i>Compliant: Signed letter or email from the Clinical Director confirming approval/support.</i></p> <p><i>Non-compliant: No evidence of Clinical Director approval is provided.</i></p>	
Section B: Scope Checks			
8	Is the project appropriately aligned with national/HSE priorities and free from conflict with national strategies or clinical programmes (e.g., Sláintecare, HSE Digital Health Strategy)?	<p><i>Compliant: An integrated care project that supports Sláintecare or aligns with the HSE Digital Health Strategy.</i></p> <p><i>Non-compliant: A project that duplicates or contradicts an existing national clinical programme or strategy.</i></p>	

9	Does the application include all key details , including a clear budget breakdown, defined outcomes/metrics, timeline and governance?	<p><i>Compliant: An application with an itemised budget and clearly defined outcomes/metrics.</i></p> <p><i>Non-compliant: An application with only lump-sum costs, no itemisation of budget and vague or undefined outcomes.</i></p>	
10	Does the project involve more than routine training, consultancy, or registry development , with a clear element of innovation proposed?	<p><i>Compliant: Training directly linked to a new model of care or an innovative service redesign.</i></p> <p><i>Non-compliant: Standard CPD training, routine consultancy, or registry/database setup without an innovative component.</i></p>	
11	Does the project include a sustainability and/or diffusion plan , showing how the initiative could be embedded or scaled if successful?" (Note: While the guidance encourages scalability and diffusion, sustainability is not an absolute requirement, but a plan must still be considered.)	<p><i>Compliant: A pilot project that includes a plan to spread regionally or embed into routine care if successful.</i></p> <p><i>Non-compliant: A one-off project with no consideration of sustainability or diffusion beyond the pilot phase.</i></p>	
12	Are the proposed outcomes specific and measurable ?	<p><i>Compliant: "Improve pain management by 70% at 3 months post-intervention, measured by a validated Patient-Reported Outcome Measure."</i></p> <p><i>Non-compliant: "Improve patient's pain management" (too vague, no measure).</i></p>	
13	Is the project clearly located within Irish Public Healthcare Services ?	<p><i>Compliant: A project implemented in an Irish public hospital or HSE-funded service.</i></p> <p><i>Non-compliant: A project sited outside of Ireland or not connected to Irish healthcare delivery.</i></p>	
14	Is the application's primary aim a service innovation or patient-facing tool , rather than an expert consultancy activity (e.g., legal review)?	<p><i>Compliant: Development of a redesigned outpatient clinic model or other innovative clinical service.</i></p> <p><i>Non-compliant: Hiring legal consultants, management consultants, or similar expert-only services with no direct patient/service innovation.</i></p>	

15	Are all required departmental approvals and supports (e.g., ICT, Digital Health, Clinical Engineering, University) included in the application?"	<p><i>Compliant: A digital project with ICT approval included.</i></p> <p><i>Non-compliant: Missing necessary departmental approvals for the type of project proposed.</i></p>	
16	Is the project's scope realistic and feasible within the CIF funding framework?	<p><i>Compliant: A 12-month project with clearly achievable deliverables.</i></p> <p><i>Non-compliant: A large multi-year initiative or programme that exceeds the scale and resources of CIF funding.</i></p>	
17	If previously piloted or funded , does the project clearly demonstrate significant changes or added value compared with the original project?	<p><i>Compliant: A pilot that has been expanded or redesigned with a stronger model, wider rollout, or new features adding value.</i></p> <p><i>Non-compliant: A resubmission of the same pilot with no meaningful changes or improvements.</i></p>	
18	Does the request go beyond the funding of additional staff posts, with an innovative model of delivery proposed? <i>(Routine staffing requests are excluded in the guidance; while the pay and numbers strategy is not referenced explicitly, proposals should demonstrate innovation rather than standard resourcing.)</i>	<p><i>Compliant: Funding for staff to deliver a new integrated care pathway or innovative service model.</i></p> <p><i>Non-compliant: A request for standard additional posts with no associated innovation (routine resourcing).</i></p>	
19	Does the budget exclude non-eligible costs (e.g., hotel rooms, venues, conference travel/fees, dissemination, general infrastructure, or 'budget buffers/contingencies')?	<p><i>Compliant: A budget limited to direct project costs such as equipment, staff time, or defined project activities.</i></p> <p><i>Non-compliant: A budget that includes conference travel, venue hire, general infrastructure, or unspecified contingency buffers.</i></p>	
20	If the project includes pooling of consultants' allocations, is the combined budget within €50,000 , or if above this amount, does the project have confirmed external funding to cover the shortfall?	<p><i>Compliant: A €70,000 project with €20,000 confirmed co-funding.</i></p> <p><i>Non-compliant: A €70,000 project with no confirmed co-funding.</i></p>	
21	Does the application comply with procurement requirements and avoid any risk of vendor promotion or gain?	<p><i>Compliant: Vendor or supplier selected through proper procurement processes.</i></p>	

		<i>Non-compliant: A project tied to a single vendor promotion or structured to benefit a specific commercial provider.</i>	
22	Is the project developed through CIF funding intended only for non-commercial purposes, and not for product sale?	<i>Compliant: A project that develops a pathway, tool, or model for internal HSE use.</i> <i>Non-compliant: A project designed to develop a product for commercialisation.</i>	
Section C: Conditional Checks			
23	If simulation (SIM) or training is included, is it part of a wider innovative service change, rather than a stand-alone refurbishment or equipment-only request? <i>(Note: SIM or training may be fundable when linked to an innovative new service/change, and will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis.)</i>	<i>Compliant: Simulation used to test or support a new care pathway or innovative service model.</i> <i>Non-compliant: A stand-alone request for manikins, refurbishment, or SIM equipment with no associated service change, e.g., a stand-alone request for manikins, even if not already in your site</i>	
24	If POCUS is included, does it form part of a new pathway or demonstrable innovation/return on investment , rather than a routine/standard project only?	<i>Compliant: POCUS integrated into a new emergency care model or pathway demonstrating innovation and impact.</i> <i>Non-compliant: Purchase of POCUS devices for routine use without an associated service change or innovation.</i>	
25	Has the applicant provided all required 6-month and/or 12-month reports for previously funded CIF projects, or supplied a valid justification for non-delivery? <i>(Note: Applications are not rejected for missing reports, but processing will be paused until all relevant reports from the applicants previous CIF-projects have been submitted.)</i>	<i>Compliant: All required progress reports submitted, or a valid justification provided for any delay.</i> <i>Non-compliant: Reports missing with no justification, application processing paused until submitted.</i>	
26	Is the application consistent with current HSE guidance and not impacted by recently issued memos, policy changes, or other contextual factors?	<i>Compliant: A project that aligns with all current guidance, memos, and policy updates.</i> <i>Non-compliant: A project which is in contradiction with or rendered non-compliant by a newly issued policy, memo, or directive.</i>	